

particular binding properties and a species of second domain that is coding and without particular binding properties.

The Examiner also requested that Applicants clearly list which claims are readable upon the species elected. In response to the Examiner's request, Applicants note that claims 1-4, 9, 11, 49 and 10, to the extent that claim 10 depends from claims 1-4, read on the species elected.

Applicants do not traverse the election of species made in this response. However, Applicants maintain the traversal of the restriction requirement and of the election of species set forth in the responses filed April 30, 2003 and December 6, 2004. Therefore, withdrawal of the restriction requirement and the substantive examination of claims 1-36, 44-45, 48 and 49, together, is again respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

Please note that a new Power of Attorney form and the related Statement under 37 C.F.R. Section 3.73(b) are also enclosed with this response.

Finally, also enclosed is a Petition for Extension of Time requesting a two-month extension to reply to the above-referenced office action and a check for \$225 to cover associated fees. If the Examiner has any questions regarding this communication, he is invited to contact the undersigned at (916) 444-3900, x353.

Dated: 6/1/05

McDonough Holland & Allen PC
555 Capitol Mall, 9th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814-4692
Tel.: (916) 444-3900
Fax.: (916) 325-4587

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Michelle L. Samonek, Reg. No.: 54,421